

Op-Ed DRAFT 2 Peer Review Guide

DRAFT 2: AT-HOME ANNOTATIONS

Second drafts of the op-eds will be uploaded to the Google folders on Sunday, February 28th.

In preparation for your group meeting next week, review each paper carefully.

Add written sidebar comments / annotations, using the Comment feature in Google, to each member's uploaded document. Address the following:

- Introduction
 - Does the opening establish a clear, specific conversation (“they say”)?
 - Does the first page establish the writer’s main well-defined argument (“I say”)?
- Organization
 - Do paragraphs feel overly long or short? Where?
 - Does each paragraph have a discrete and important purpose? Are there extraneous paragraphs?
 - Is the progression from one idea to the next across paragraphs clear and apparent? Where does this logical flow need work?
- Repetitiveness
 - Where could the writer reduce repetitive content to streamline the discussion?
- Support
 - Is the writer integrating effective “they say / I say” exchanges elsewhere in the op-ed, beyond the introduction?
 - Does the writer supply personal experience or other concrete information to back up their argument claims effectively? Where is this working best? What areas need attention?
- Strengths
 - Note what elements you are enjoying most so far about this version of the op-ed.